



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,244	01/26/2004	Pierre H. Turpin	CLON-107	1759
24353 759	90 12/07/2005		EXAMINER	
BOZICEVIC, FIELD & FRANCIS LLP 1900 UNIVERSITY AVENUE			KETTER, JAMES S	
SUITE 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
EAST PALO A	LTO, CA 94303		1636	

DATE MAILED: 12/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/765,244	TURPIN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		James S. Ketter	1636				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS INSTRUCTION OF THE MAILING DANS OF THE MAILING DANS OF THE MAILING DANS OF THE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DANS OF THE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DANS OF THE MONTHS THE MONTH	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 86(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)				
Status	·						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)□		-· action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims			•			
4)⊠	1)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)[Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ∣ ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. {	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
۵٫۱	<u> </u>	have been received					
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
	3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priori		· ·				
	application from the International Bureau		received in this Hational Stage				
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	received.				
			,				
Attachment	i(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)				
3) 🔲 Inforn	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 'No(s)/Mail Date		y/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 10/765,244

Art Unit: 1636

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

 Claims 18-21, drawn to a proteasome sensor comprising a PEST system protein degradation tag, classified in class 536, subclass 23.4.

II. Claims 22 and 23, drawn to a proteasome sensor comprising a ubiquitin system protein degradation tag, classified in class 536, subclass 23.4.

Claims 1-17 and 24-29 link the inventions of Groups I and II. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claims. Upon the allowance of the linking claims, the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claims depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claims will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicants are advised that if any such claims depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claims is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01. Applicants should note that this is **not** an election of species.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions of Groups I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation,

Art Unit: 1636

different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions. Specifically, the product of Group I makes use of a particular protein degradation tag, the PEST sequence, which has a different amino acid structure from the product of Group II, which uses a protein degradation tag utilizing a sequence from the ubiquitin system. Because each of these sequences has a different amino acid sequence and structure, the inventions are considered to lack a structure-function relationship (i.e., patentably distinct structures are present in each product), making restriction on the merits proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Furthermore, the non-patent literature searches required for each of these inventions are not co-extensive, hence said searches would be burdensome. Therefore restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Applicant is additionally reminded that the rejoinder of Groups I and II is dependent on the allowability of the linking claims.

Claims 18 (should Group I be elected) and 23 (should Group II be elected) are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising different specific degradation sequences. Upon election of one of the Groups set forth above, Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species of degaradtion sequence (e.g., residues 44-49 of E1A, cyclin A, et cetera), even though this requirement is traversed.

Application/Control Number: 10/765,244

Art Unit: 1636

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement were to be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner with respect to the examination on the merits should be directed to James Ketter whose telephone number is (571) 272-0770. The Examiner normally can be reached on M-F (9:00-6:30), with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Remy Yucel, can be reached at (571) 272-0781.

Application/Control Number: 10/765,244

Art Unit: 1636

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be

viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the

USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are

available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is

(866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of

document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of

the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of

the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the

problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete

service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system

provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also

enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as

general patent information available to the public.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-

9199.

Jsk

December 3, 2005

JAMES KETTER PRIMARY FXAMINER